Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Sinclair Oil Corporation	
Well Name/Number: Ralston 2-22H	
Location: SE SE Section 22 T27N R53E	
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat	
A. O. 14	
Air Quality	
(possible concerns)	
Long drilling time: No. 30-40 days drilling time. Linuxually does drilling (high horsensysteric). Tainly demisteric 000 HP to drill a single letteral Politica	
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): <u>Triple derrick rig 900 HP to drill a single lateral Bakken</u> Formation horizontal well, 18,849'MD/8,864'TVD.	
Possible H2S gas production: Possibly, slight amount.	
In/near Class I air quality area: No	
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-	
211.	
Mitigation:	
X Air quality permit (AQB review)	
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas	
Special equipment/procedures requirements	
Other:	
Comments:	
Water Ovality	
Water Quality (possible concerns)	
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to long string hole with oil based drilling fluids. Horizontal lateral to be drilled	
with saltwater. Surface casing hole to be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud.	
High water table: None	
Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to West Charley	
Creek, about 3/4 of a mile to the NE of this location.	
Water well contamination: No, water wells within 1 mile of this location. Surface casing will be set to	
1,600' and cemented back to surface to protect freshwater zones.	
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty bentonitic soils	
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.	
Mitigation:	
\underline{X} Lined reserve pit	
X Adequate surface casing	
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage	
Closed mud system	
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)	
Other: Comments: 1,600' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also,	
covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems.	
covering Tox Times addition. Adequate surface easing and Bot equipment to prevent problems.	
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use	
(possible concerns)	
Steam crossings: None	
Steam crossings: None	

Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive

High erosion potential: No, location will require moderate cut, up to 7.9' and a moderate fill, up to 5.4',

unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed
Unusually large wellsite: <u>Large well site 350'X430'.</u>
Damage to improvements: Slight.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Access will be over existing county road. Very short new road will be constructed into
this location off the existing road. Drill cuttings will be disposed of in the lined reserve pit. Invert mud
will be recycled. Completion fluids will be trucked to a commercial Class II for disposal. Pit will be
backfilled after remaining fluids have evaporated. No special concerns
Health Hazards/Noise
(nossible concerns)
(possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: None, no residence within 1 mile of location in any direction.
Possibility of H2S: Slight
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 30 to 4 days drilling time.
Mitigation:
X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should
mitigate any problems. Noise should not be a problems, sufficient distance from residence to rig
should mitigate this.
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: <u>No</u>
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: No
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites: None identified.

Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Bakken formation horizontal well; spacing order 182-2013
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
No, long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated in a short time.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas P. Richmond
(title:) Administrator
Date: <u>January 10, 2008</u>
Other Persons Contacted: _ Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website.
(Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County
(subject discussed)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspector:
Inspector: Others present during inspection: